

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,350	07/24/2003	Dennis Lewis	BAI525/03273	9118
24118	7590 09/22/2006		EXAM	INER
HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN			BASICHAS, ALFRED	
228 W 17TH TULSA, OK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3749	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/22/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,350	LEWIS, DENNIS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alfred Basichas	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communica		
riod for Reply	.,	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute. cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
atus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n 05 July 2006	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice		
sposition of Claims	•	,
·	adding to the company of	
4) Claim(s) 3,5-16,18-24 and 27 is/are per	= * *	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vithdrawn from consideration.	
6) Claim(s) 3.5-16,18-24 and 27 is/are reje	ected	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Joicu.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.	
	·	
oplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection	= · ·	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
	THE Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John 1 10-132.
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority doc		
2. Certified copies of the priority do		
Copies of the certified copies of t		received in this National Stage
application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	` ''	received

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 3, 5-16, 18-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. (See below for further explanation.)
- 3. Claims 3, 5-16, 18-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for ports, does not reasonably provide enablement for adjustable ports. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to *make and/or use* the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. (See below for further explanation.)

Applicant claims "adjustable ports", which are not sufficiently described in the specification to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the

Application/Control Number: 10/626,350

Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

invention as now claimed. The only mention of any form of adjustability in the specification is vague. Specifically, the specification recites

a. "However the configuration and/or shape of the ports can be <u>adjusted</u> to suit particular gas flow and combustion requirements."

and

b. "The sizing of the ports and the spacing of the ports in each set 12-20 are determined with respect to the heat and flame requirements to be provided to each HX tube 22 with which the burner assembly is mounted. Thus these parameters can be <u>adjusted</u> to suit particular heat exchanger parameters and can take into account any environmental considerations including emission regulations and standards."

While this is sufficient to establish that such was envisioned by applicant at the time of filing and avoid issues of new matter, there is insufficient disclosure to establish exactly what is meant by adjustable. Is this something that is established at the design stage and/or manufacture stage, or is there structure to permit adjustment at the time of installation or sometime thereafter? Applicant is advised that the former is inherent in the burner art, as adjusting the ports at the time of design and manufacture is a fundamental function. As regards the latter, there is insufficient disclosure to enable one of ordinary skill, let alone ascertain the scope of the invention.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,350

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272

4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular

business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571

273 8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

September 14, 2006

*Kijtjed∕Bas*ichas Primary Evaminer

Primary Examinei